

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

DETINE HOMBER TIENER DE	FIRST NAM	FIRST NAMEU APPLICANT		TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
07/405,792 09/1	1/89 WHIPPLE		D	A85010CIPCCI
_		¬ [	MARCEL TEXAMINER	
MICHAEL H. SHANAH		' [		
WANG LABORATORIES ONE INDUSTRIAL AV	, INC. /ENUE, M/S 014-B7D	-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOWELL, MA 01851	2.102, 1.70 01, 272		263	1/

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on	This action is made final.				
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire $3$ month(s), $3$ . Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandor					
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:  1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.  2. Notice 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449  4. Notice 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474  6	re Patent Drawing, PTO-948. of informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152				
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION					
1. Ctaims 1-19	are pending in the application.				
Of the above, claims	are withdrawn from consideration.				
2. Claims	have been cancelled.				
3. Claims 15-19	are allowed.				
3.   Claims 15-19 are allowed.  4.   Claims 1-3 4 5-14 are rejected.  5 ▼ Claims 4					
5. X Claims 4	are objected to.				
. Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.					
7. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for ex	amination purposes until such time as allowable subject				
8. Allowable subject matter having been indicated, formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.					
9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on not acceptable (see explanation).	, These drawings are acceptable;				
10. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed additional or substite has (have) been approved by the examiner. disapproved by the examiner (	_ ·				
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed, has been a the Patent and Trademark Office no longer makes drawing changes. It is now appl corrected. Corrections <u>MUST</u> be effected in accordance with the instructions set f EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES", PTO-1474.					
12. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certific	ed copy has been received not been received				
been filed in parent application, serial no. ; file  13. Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal m accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
14. Other					

Serial No. 405,792

Art Unit 263

- 1. Claims (1, 5, 8), 2, 3, 6, 7, 9, 10, (11, 12, 13), and 14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 of U.S. Patent No. 4,926,419. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because a predetermined number of accesses of the bus can be one access, and it would have been obvious to eliminate certain steps or means from the patented method and apparatus claims.
- 2. The obviousness-type double patenting rejection is a judicially established doctrine based upon public policy and is primarily intended to prevent prolongation of the patent term by prohibiting claims in a second patent not patentably distinct from claims in a first patent. In re Vogel, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970). A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.321(b) would overcome an actual or provisional rejection on this ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.78(d).
- 3. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 15-19 are allowable over the prior art of record.

The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the additional features of a counter means and the step of counting the number of bus accesses.

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Serial No. 405,792

Art Unit 263

Narayanan et al teach a changeable priority order system.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin Marcelo whose telephone number is (703) 557-3360.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 557-3321.

num

M.MARCELO:lfa June 25, 1990

DOUGLAS W. OLMS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER ART UNIT 263

Oonglas W. Olus